The problem we worked on was called the Horse Problem. In this problem, a man and his wife buy and sell a horse a number of times. At the end of the problem, we are asked to determine how much money the couple either made or lost. The problem said the man bought the horse for \$100, his wife sold it for \$200, then he bought it again for \$300, then she sold it again for \$400.

At first glance, this problem seemed too simple. When I tried to solve this problem on my own, I thought about using integers. I thought of the selling of the horse as a positive number and the buying of the horse as a negative number. Therefore, I added all the "bought the horse" statements and got 100 + 300 = 400, which I designated as negative. Then, I added all the "sold the horse" statements and got 200 + 400 = 600, which I designated as positive. Finally, I got a total of -400 + 600 = 200. When I met with my group, we had all agreed on the answer, but each of us had taken a different route to get there. John said that she thought that if the man bought the horse for 100, then he would have -100. Then, if his wife sold it for 200, they would have 100. When he buys the horse again for 300, they now have -200, and then when they sell it again for 400, they have 200. So, even though we disagreed on the method, we agreed on our answer. We thought this was due to the Commutative Property of Addition. Jane, however, looked at it differently. She said that once the man bought the horse for 100, then the horse was worth 100. Therefore, when his wife sold it for 200, she made 100. When he bought it for 300, he actually lost 200 since the horse was only worth 100. That made their net worth -100 (-200 + 100 = -2100). Finally, when she sold it for 400, they made another 300, for a total of 200 profit.

Our group decided that the final answer is that the couple made a profit of \$200 on their horse. We feel that the explanation of looking at the price of the horse as integers is the best approach because it works no matter what the numbers are and takes the least amount of explanation. The reason it did work in all three methods was because of the Commutative Property of Addition; in each, we were adding the same integers in different orders.